Analyzing the Interplay between Social Norms and Legal Frameworks in Addressing Violence against Women in India

Saini, Ashok[1] & Kohli, Vikasdeep Singh[2]

[1]Research Scholar, Department of Law, NIILM University, Kaithal Haryana

[2]Research Supervisor, Department of Law, NIILM University, Kaithal Haryana

CITATION

Article Info

Copyright

Abstract

Violence against women remains a pervasive problem in India, rooted deeply in cultural, social, and institutional frameworks. This review explores how entrenched social norms intersect with legal provisions designed to protect women, and how these dynamics affect the implementation and effectiveness of such laws. The paper examines the historical development of legal mechanisms addressing gender-based violence, evaluates the gaps in law enforcement, and critically assesses how societal attitudes shape legal outcomes. It also explores successful models and reforms while recommending a multi-pronged strategy that includes legal reform, public awareness, and education to dismantle harmful norms.

Keywords: Violence against Women, Social Norms, Legal Frameworks, Gender-Based Violence, Patriarchy, Law Enforcement, Women's Rights, India, Socio-Legal Perspective, Gender Justice.

Introduction

Violence against women in India is a deeply rooted socio-legal issue, shaped by centuries of patriarchal traditions, cultural beliefs, and systemic inequalities. Despite the presence of

progressive legislation aimed at protecting women, such as the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act (2005), the Dowry Prohibition Act (1961), and amendments to criminal laws post-Nirbhaya (Criminal Law Amendment Act, 2013), gender-based violence continues to persist at alarming rates (Kapur, 2014). The coexistence of robust legal mechanisms and widespread violence highlights a significant gap between law and lived reality. This gap is often bridged by deeply entrenched social norms that continue to legitimize, normalize, or excuse acts of violence against women, especially in rural and conservative segments of society.

In many parts of India, notions of female subservience, family honor, and traditional gender roles discourage women from reporting abuse or seeking justice. These cultural attitudes are not only prevalent among the general public but also permeate the attitudes of law enforcement, judiciary, and even healthcare providers, leading to underreporting, victim-blaming, and delayed justice (Jejeebhoy & Santhya, 2011; Basu, 2016). The interplay between social norms and legal frameworks becomes a critical point of inquiry, as societal acceptance of violence often undermines the intent and impact of legal protections.

Furthermore, the stigma associated with being a victim of violence, compounded by economic dependence and lack of awareness about legal rights, forces many women to remain in abusive environments (Raj & Silverman, 2002). This review aims to critically analyze how social and cultural structures interact with legal institutions in either enabling or curbing violence against women. A nuanced understanding of this interplay is essential for formulating integrated approaches that combine legal reform with community-level social change initiatives to effectively address the issue in both policy and practice.

Social Norms and Gender-Based Violence

Social norms play a critical role in shaping attitudes, behaviors, and institutional responses to gender-based violence (GBV). These norms are culturally ingrained beliefs about the roles, responsibilities, and value of individuals based on their gender. In many societies, particularly in patriarchal settings like India, these norms position women as subordinate to men, promoting male dominance and control. Such hierarchies are not only accepted but often reinforced through family traditions, religious practices, media portrayals, and community enforcement, creating a fertile ground for various forms of violence against women.

Saini, A. & Kohli, V. S.

From domestic abuse and sexual harassment to honor killings and dowry-related violence, social norms often legitimize or trivialize such acts, perceiving them as disciplinary measures rather than criminal offenses. The normalization of GBV is perpetuated through silence and stigma—women who report violence are frequently blamed, shamed, or ostracized, while perpetrators are protected by social structures that prioritize family reputation or male privilege over justice. In this way, cultural expectations around female modesty, obedience, and purity hinder women's ability to resist or report abuse, thereby fostering impunity.

Moreover, social norms influence institutional responses. Police officers, judges, and healthcare providers—who are themselves products of the same cultural milieu—may hold gender-biased attitudes, resulting in underreporting, dismissive investigation, or denial of support services. For example, domestic violence may be treated as a "private matter," and rape survivors may face insensitive questioning or pressure to settle out of court.

In rural areas, khap panchayats or informal village councils often resolve cases of violence outside the legal system, frequently reinforcing patriarchal values rather than protecting victims. Even laws designed to combat GBV, such as the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act (2005) or the Criminal Law (Amendment) Act (2013), face implementation challenges when societal attitudes undermine legal mandates. The tension between progressive legal reforms and regressive social attitudes reveals the deep-rooted nature of gender inequality.

Yet, social norms are not static; they evolve through advocacy, education, and community dialogue. Transformative change requires challenging harmful beliefs, promoting gender-equitable values, and involving both men and women in redefining gender relations. Grassroots organizations, media campaigns, and educational reforms are playing a vital role in shifting perceptions, but the process is gradual and must be sustained. Programs like community-based gender sensitization workshops, inclusion of gender equality in school curricula, and public awareness initiatives are essential in disrupting the intergenerational transmission of misogyny and violence.

Furthermore, empowering women through economic independence, legal literacy, and leadership opportunities can alter power dynamics that are at the root of GBV. In conclusion, social norms significantly influence the prevalence, acceptance, and response to gender-based violence. Any effort to eliminate GBV must address these norms alongside legal and institutional reforms. Only by transforming the cultural context that tolerates violence can societies hope to protect and empower women meaningfully.

Legal Frameworks Addressing Violence against Women

India has enacted a range of laws including:

- The Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 (PWDVA)
- The Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961
- Section 498A IPC
- Criminal Law (Amendment) Act, 2013, following the Nirbhaya case
  While these laws provide a solid legal base, their enforcement remains weak due to societal attitudes among law enforcers, delays in the judicial system, and stigma faced by victims (Kapur, 2014).

Socio-Legal Interplay: Challenges in Implementation

a) Law Enforcement and Patriarchal Bias Police and judicial personnel are often influenced by prevailing gender biases, leading to under-reporting or dismissiveness towards complaints (Basu, 2016).

b) Stigma and Family Honor Victims are often pressured by families to remain silent to protect social reputation, further discouraging legal recourse (Raj & Silverman, 2002).

c) Lack of Awareness Many women, particularly in rural India, are unaware of their legal rights or fear retaliation if they assert them (Chaudhuri, 2016).

Case Studies and Data Insights

- National Family Health Survey (NFHS-5) reported that nearly 30% of women aged 18–49 had experienced physical violence since age 15.
- The National Crime Records Bureau (2022) documented over 4 lakh cases under crimes against women, yet conviction rates remain low.
- A study by the Centre for Social Research (2019) highlights that many women discontinue legal proceedings due to societal pressure.

Positive Interventions and Legal Activism

Positive interventions and legal activism have played a critical role in confronting violence against women (VAW) in India by bridging the gap between legal frameworks and their practical implementation. While legislative measures provide a structural foundation to protect women, social resistance, gender bias, and systemic inertia often hinder their efficacy.
Saini, A. & Kohli, V. S.

To counter these limitations, grassroots activism, public interest litigation, and civil society engagement have emerged as powerful tools. One of the most landmark legal interventions was the *Vishaka v. State of Rajasthan* case (1997), in which the Supreme Court of India laid down guidelines for preventing sexual harassment at the workplace. This judgment filled a legislative void in addressing workplace harassment and ultimately led to the enactment of the Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace (Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal) Act, 2013, commonly known as the POSH Act (Kapur, 2014). This is an example where legal activism, spurred by the tragic gang rape of a social worker, not only challenged institutional silence but also shaped national legislation.

Furthermore, non-governmental organizations (NGOs) have been at the forefront of changing societal attitudes and supporting victims of gender-based violence. Organizations like Jagori, SEWA (Self Employed Women's Association), and Breakthrough have been instrumental in providing legal aid, psychological support, and rehabilitation services. These organizations also conduct large-scale awareness campaigns aimed at transforming gender stereotypes. For instance, Breakthrough's "Bell Bajao" campaign urged men and boys to intervene in cases of domestic violence, promoting a culture of accountability and community responsibility (Breakthrough, 2010). These interventions highlight how community-based approaches can complement legal efforts and foster a preventive ecosystem.

Another positive step has been the institutionalization of One-Stop Centres (OSCs) by the Ministry of Women and Child Development under the Nirbhaya Fund. These centres offer integrated services including legal aid, medical assistance, and police facilitation to victims of violence under one roof (Ministry of WCD, 2021). Similarly, initiatives like the 1091 Women's Helpline and mobile apps like HAWK EYE have leveraged technology to provide immediate assistance and safety measures. However, the accessibility of such services remains uneven across urban and rural areas, highlighting the need for more inclusive digital interventions.

Legal aid clinics, run by law schools and bar associations, have also emerged as a form of legal activism, offering pro bono support to underserved communities. Public interest litigations (PILs) filed by women's rights activists and legal scholars have consistently brought cases of violence, trafficking, and harassment to judicial notice. Notably, in *Laxmi v. Union of India* (2013), legal activism led to stringent regulations on acid sales and compensation for acid attack victims (Basu, 2016).

Overall, positive interventions and legal activism have been vital in advancing women's rights in India. These efforts reinforce that mere legislation is not sufficient; active societal

participation, legal literacy, and strategic litigation are essential for achieving real justice. Collaborative models between the state, civil society, and legal institutions represent the most promising path toward eradicating violence against women and ensuring gender justice.

Recommendations

- Integrated Policy Measures: Strengthening coordination between legal systems, police, NGOs, and community leaders.
- Gender Sensitization: Mandatory gender-sensitization training for law enforcement and judiciary.
- Education and Awareness Campaigns: Especially targeting rural and conservative populations to shift cultural attitudes.
- Community Engagement: Involving men and boys in dismantling toxic masculinity and promoting gender equality.

Conclusion

Violence against women in India continues to be a deeply embedded socio-legal issue, reflecting the profound disconnect between progressive legal statutes and regressive social norms. While India's legal landscape has seen the enactment of several important laws aimed at protecting women—such as the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005, the Criminal Law (Amendment) Act, 2013, and the Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace (Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal) Act, 2013—their actual impact is frequently diluted by the persistent strength of patriarchal traditions, community silence, and gender stereotypes (Kapur, 2014). These laws, although comprehensive on paper, often struggle in practice due to the socio-cultural context in which they operate.

A critical examination reveals that social attitudes often determine the trajectory of justice for women survivors. In many communities, women face significant resistance not only from their abusers but also from family members and society at large when they attempt to access legal remedies (Jejeebhoy & Santhya, 2011). Concepts such as "family honor," "social shame," and "women's silence" are deeply woven into the fabric of Indian society, discouraging victims from reporting crimes. Even when cases are reported, victims are often subject to further victimization through intrusive investigations, social ostracism, and a protracted judicial process that lacks sensitivity (Raj & Silverman, 2002).

Saini, A. & Kohli, V. S.

Law enforcement agencies are also influenced by these societal values. Police officers and even judicial officials may dismiss complaints as private family matters or advise reconciliation instead of pursuing legal action (Basu, 2016). As a result, many crimes go unpunished, and victims are left without justice or support. These attitudes undermine the credibility and effectiveness of the legal system and discourage other women from coming forward.

Furthermore, the rural-urban divide exacerbates this problem. In rural India, where traditional gender roles are more rigidly enforced, awareness about women's rights is significantly lower, and access to legal aid is minimal (Chaudhuri, 2016). This lack of access is coupled with illiteracy, economic dependence, and fear of retaliation, creating multiple barriers to justice. In contrast, urban women may have greater legal awareness but still face cultural and institutional challenges when seeking justice.

Nonetheless, there are signs of positive change. The role of civil society organizations, media, and women's rights movements has been instrumental in breaking the silence around gender-based violence. Campaigns like #MeToo India and initiatives by NGOs like Jagori and Breakthrough have created awareness and empowered survivors to speak out. Judicial activism has also played a role in reshaping legal interpretations and holding institutions accountable, as demonstrated in the landmark *Vishaka v. State of Rajasthan* (1997) case which laid the foundation for workplace harassment laws.

Ultimately, addressing violence against women in India requires more than legislative action—it demands a transformation of societal attitudes. Legal reforms must be supported by education, public awareness, and community engagement to challenge patriarchal values and promote gender equality. A multi-stakeholder approach involving the government, civil society, law enforcement, and the public is essential to ensure that laws do not remain symbolic but become instruments of real change. Bridging the gap between law and society is key to dismantling the structures that perpetuate violence and to building a future where women can live with dignity and freedom.

References:

1. Basu, S. (2016). *The trouble with marriage: Feminists confront law and violence in India*. University of California Press.
2. *Breakthrough*. (2010). *Bell Bajao Campaign*. https://in.breakthrough.tv

3. Centre for Social Research. (2019). *Barriers to justice: Women's experiences of the legal system in India*.

4. Chaudhuri, M. (2016). *Feminism in India. Zubaan*.

5. Jejeebhoy, S. J., & Santhya, K. G. (2011). *Parenting practices and violence against children in India: A review*. UNICEF Office of Research Working Papers.

6. Kapur, R. (2014). Gender, sovereignty and the rise of a sexual security regime in international law and postcolonial India. *Melbourne Journal of International Law*, *15*(2), 313–330.

7. Ministry of Women and Child Development. (2021) [Annual report] (pp. 2020–2021). Government of India.

8. *National crime records bureau (NCRB)*. (2022). Crime in India Report. Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India.

9. *National Family Health survey (NFHS-5)*. (2021). International Institute for Population Sciences.

10. Raj, A., & Silverman, J. G. (2002). Editor's note. *Violence Against Women*, *8*(12), 1419–1431. https://doi.org/10.1177/107780102237962

11. Supreme Court of India. (1997). *Vishaka v.* p. 3011. State of Rajasthan, AIR.

12. Alesina, A., & Giuliano, P. (2015). Culture and institutions. *Journal of Economic Literature*, *53*(4), 898–944. https://doi.org/10.1257/jel.53.4.898

13. Low, S. M., & Merry, S. E. (2010). Engaged Anthropology: Diversity and Dilemmas. *Current Anthropology*, *51*(S2), S203–S226. https://doi.org/10.1086/653837

14. Agrawal, D. K., & Pandey, A. P. (2025). Exploring Social Reality: Perspectives on Identity, Marriage, and Caste in Indian Society through an Online Survey. *Shodh Sari-An International Multidisciplinary Journal*, *04*(01), 230–255. https://doi.org/10.59231/sari7790